

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| 09/746,719 | 12/22/2000 | Ralph L. Anderson | 03768/9382 (15031) KCX-22 | 1176 | |
| 7: | 590 09/30/2002 | | | | |
| Neil C. Jones | *·••• | | | EXAMINER | |
| Keenan Buildir | | L.L.P. | GUARRIELLO, JOHN J | | |
| 1330 Lady Stre Columbia, SC | | | ART UNIT | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1771 | 5 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 09/30/2002 | | |
| | | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | AS- | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. 09/9467 Examiner | 19 Huderson Group Art Applicant(s) Group Art AVVIELD 177 | | | | | |
| -The MAILING DATE of this communication appo | ears on the cover she | et beneath the corresponde | ence address – | | | | |
| Period for Reply | _ | 7 | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. | T TO EXPIRE | MONTH(S) FROM T | HE MAILING DATE | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days. If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defended to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | s, a reply within the statutor efault, expire SIX (6) MONT or statute, cause the applica | y minimum of thirty (30) days will HS from the mailing date of this c tion to become ABANDONED (35 | be considered timely. ommunication. i U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | · | | | | |
| ☐ This action is FINAL. | · | | | | | | |
| □ Since this application is in condition for allowance exaccordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, | cept for formal matters 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G | , prosecution as to the me . 213. | rits is closed in | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| ry Claim(s) | is/are pending in | $_{-}$ is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims Claim(s) Of the above claim(s) | is/are withdrawn | is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| - a | is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) $34 - 34$ | is/are rejected. | is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| ☐ Claim(s) | | is/are objected to |) . | | | | |
| □ Claim(s) | | are subject to res | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | requirement | | | | | |
| ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on | | | | | | | |
| ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are of | bjected to by the Exan | niner | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine. | er. | | | | | | |
| ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | | |
| • | | 19 (a)–(d). | | | | | |
| ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) | | 19 (a)–(d). | | | | | |
| □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination is objected to by the Examination is priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority all □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have be | rity under 35 U.S.C. § 1 een received. | | | | | | |
| □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the: | rity under 35 U.S.C. § 1 een received. | | | | | | |
| □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority all □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have be | rity under 35 U.S.C. § 1 een received. een received in Applica | tion No | • | | | | |
| □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Certified copies of the priority documents have be | rity under 35 U.S.C. § 1 een received. een received in Applicat nents have been receiv | tion No | | | | | |
| □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the: □ Certified copies of the priority documents have be □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents | rity under 35 U.S.C. § 1 een received. een received in Applicat nents have been receive tional Bureau (PCT Rule | tion No red e 17.2(a)) | · · | | | | |
| ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examina Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority. ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the Internative Certified copies not received: Attachment(s) | rity under 35 U.S.C. § 1 een received. een received in Applicat ments have been receiv tional Bureau (PCT Rule | tion No red e 17.2(a)) | ·• · | | | | |
| ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examina Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority. ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents in this national stage application from the Internative Certified copies not received: Attachment(s) | rity under 35 U.S.C. § 1 een received. een received in Applicat ments have been receiv tional Bureau (PCT Rule | tion No red e 17.2(a)) | · | | | | |
| ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examina Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)—(d) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prioring a claim foreign prioring a claim for foreign prioring a claim foreign prioring a claim foreign prioring a claim foreign prioring a claim foreign prior | rity under 35 U.S.C. § 1 een received. een received in Applicat ments have been receiv tional Bureau (PCT Rule | tion No red e 17.2(a)) | | | | | |

Office Action Summary

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No. ______

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 15. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-23, drawn to method of making an absorbent article,classified in class 427, subclass 194.
 - II. Claims 24-34, drawn to absorbent article, classified in class 442, subclass 71.
 - III. Claim 35, drawn to indicator system, classified in class 8, subclass 495.
- 16. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:
- 17. Inventions I and II, III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant

Art Unit: 1771

case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process whereby the indicator system is applied on one surface of a layer.

- 18. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 19. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 20. During a telephone conversation with Neil Jones on 8/23/2002 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group II, claims 24-34. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-23, Group I and Group III, claim 35 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Art Unit: 1771

21. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

22. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

23. Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 24, it is not clear what the correct dependency is since this claim depends upon a non-elected claim, claim 24 is directed to a wiper made of an absorbent article with an indicator.

Art Unit: 1771

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

24. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fellows 4,678,704.

Fellows describes an impregnated fabric to which an indicator dye has been applied, (see abstract). Fellows describes the fabric may be woven, on nonwoven which can be a wiping cloth, (see abstract, column 1, lines 5-10; lines 36-51). Fellows describes disinfectant which can be activated, (column 2, lines 22-34). Fellows describes cationic starches (corresponding to swellable polymer) which can thicken or swell, (column 2, lines 39-45). Fellows describes additives can be added, (column 2, lines 1-6). Fellows describes the essential limitations of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 1771

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 25. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 26. Claims 25-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over GB 2 211 092 in view of Dehnel 4,392,908.

GB'092 describes a wipe with a flexible substrate which a water insoluble active agent is added with an indicator dye, (see abstract). GB'092 describes flexible substrates like wipes, cloths, woven and nonwoven fabrics, (page 1, lines 13-19). GB'092 describes the indicator dye to the substrate, (page 2, lines 1-6; lines 21-32). GB'092 describes the flexible substrates as fibers, (page 3, lines 13-18). GB'092 is silent about a water swellable polymer particles.

Art Unit: 1771

Dehnel describes a water absorbent article with water swellable material such polymer particles, (column 1, lines 4-11; column 2, lines 38-44; lines 51-53).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the water swellable polymer of Dehnel in the fabric of GB'092 motivated with the expectation that the would be an improvement absorbence of the wiper.

27. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John J. Guarriello whose telephone number is 703-308-3209. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8 am to 4 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris, can be reached on (703) 308-2414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-305-5408.

Art Unit: 1771

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

John J. Guarriello:gj

Patent Examiner

September 16, 2002

TERREL MORRIS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700